

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
3 Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
4 scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
KIMBERLY FRAZIER

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 KIMBERLY FRAZIER,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13
14 11965 HAWTHORNE LLC; and DOES 1
15 to 10,

16 Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR
VIOLATION OF:

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT, CAL CIV. CODE §§ 51 -
52 et seq.;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT, CAL CIV. CODE §54 et
seq.
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE § 19955, et seq.
5. NEGLIGENCE

24 Plaintiff KIMBERLY FRAZIER ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants 11965
25 HAWTHORNE LLC; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:
26

27 //

28 //

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 substantially limited in her ability to walk due to paraplegia caused by spine injury from
4 T9 down. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a beauty salon
7 (“Business”) located at or about 11961 Hawthorne Blvd., Hawthorne, California.

8 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
9 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 to 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who
10 therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court
11 to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained.
12 Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously
13 named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for
14 the acts herein alleged.

15 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
16 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
17 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
18 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
19 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

20 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
21 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
22 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
23 and severally.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
26 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
27 *seq.*)
28

8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. The Business is a beauty salon business establishment, open to the public, a place of public accommodation and affects commerce through its operation.endants provide parking spaces for customers.

13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business included, but were not limited to, the following:

1 d. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
2 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
3 standards. Defendants failed to provide the access aisles with level
4 surface slopes.

5 e. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
6 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
7 standards. Defendants failed to provide a proper ramp for the persons
8 with disabilities.

9 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
10 Business and caused her difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to patronize the
11 Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because her
12 knowledge of these violations prevents her from returning until the barriers are removed.

13 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
14 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
15 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to her disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
16 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

17 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
18 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
19 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

20 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
21 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
22 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
23 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
24 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
25 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

26 //

27 //

28 //

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an

establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

- d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall be van parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by State or local laws or regulations. *See* 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and

1 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
2 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
3 interior end of the parking space. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6, *et seq.*

4 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
5 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
6 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
7 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
8 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
9 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
10 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”
11 *See* CBC § 11B-502.8, *et seq.*

12 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide a sign stating “Minimum Fine \$250.”

13 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
14 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
15 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
16 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
17 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
18 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
19 11B-502.3.3.

20 26. Here, Defendants failed to properly maintain the access aisles as there were
21 no “NO PARKING” and faded blue lines painted on the parking surface.

22 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
23 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
24 view of a wheel chair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36” wide
25 by 36” high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
26 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
27 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
28 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining

1 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
2 occupant. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6.4, *et seq.*

3 28. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the surface with the
4 International Symbol of Accessibility as required.

5 29. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
6 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
7 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles
8 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply
9 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked
10 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces
11 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all
12 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

13 30. Here, the access aisle is not level with the parking space. Under the 2010
14 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve.
15 Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access aisles are required
16 to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles.”
17 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. *Id.* No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

18 31. The cross slope of ramp surfaces shall be no greater than 1:50. Ramp
19 surfaces shall comply with 4.5. 1991 Standards § 4.8.6. Ramps and landings with drop-
20 offs shall have curbs, walls, railings, or projecting surfaces that prevent people from
21 slipping off the ramp. Curbs shall be a minimum of 2 in (50 mm) high. 1991 Standards §
22 4.8.7. Outdoor ramps and their approaches shall be designed so that water will not
23 accumulate on walking surfaces. 1991 Standards § 4.8.8. Ground and floor surfaces
24 along accessible routes and in accessible rooms and spaces including floors, walks,
25 ramps, stairs, and curb ramps, shall be stable, firm, slip-resistant, and shall comply with
26 4.5. 1991 Standards § 4.5.1.

27 32. Here, Defendants failed to provide a compliant ramp for the access aisle.
28

1 33. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
2 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
3 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

4 34. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
5 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
6 regulations.

7 35. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
8 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
9 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
10 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
11 by individuals with disabilities.

12 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

14 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 37. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this
17 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
18 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
19 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
20 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
21 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

22 38. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
23 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
24 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
25 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
26 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
27 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
28 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

1 39. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
2 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
3 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

4 40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
5 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
6 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
7 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
8 51 and 52.

9 41. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
10 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
11 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

12 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

14 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 43. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
17 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
18 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
19 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
20 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
21 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
22 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
23 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
24 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
25 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

26 44. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
27 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
28 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an

individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

45. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the access of any person in violation of that act.

46. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

47. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

49. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

50. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds

1 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
2 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
3 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
4 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
5 persons with disabilities.

6 51. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
7 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
8 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
9 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
10 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
11 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
12 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
13 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

14 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **NEGLIGENCE**

16 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 53. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
19 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
20 to the Plaintiff.

21 54. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
22 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

23 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
24 has suffered damages.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;
4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: May 3, 2023

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
Jason J. Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff